UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VORCOM INTERNET SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

12 CV 2049 (VB)

V.

L&H ENGINEERING & DESIGN LLC and LG

ENGINEERING & DESIGN, INC., Defendants.

Briccetti, J.:

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Lisa Margaret Smith's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), dated January 26, 2015, with respect to an inquest on damages after default. (Doc. #86). Familiarity with the factual background and procedural history of this case is presumed.

In the R&R, Judge Smith recommended that a default judgment should be entered against defendant L&H Engineering & Design LLC, on plaintiff's breach of contract claim in the amount of \$90,000, plus (i) pre-judgment interest at the rate of 9% per annum from March 20, 2012, until the date of entry of judgment, and (ii) post-judgment interest calculated in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1961. Judge Smith also recommended that the Court conclude that plaintiff's claims for fraud in the inducement and for violation of New York General Business Law § 396-p cannot be maintained and that plaintiff cannot recover damages on these claims. Finally, Judge Smith recommended that the Court conclude that plaintiff cannot maintain its claim for a declaratory judgment and has failed to prove it is entitled to the declaratory relief sought.

For the following reasons, the Court adopts the R&R as the opinion of the Court.

A district court reviewing a magistrate judge's report and recommendation "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate

judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Parties may raise objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, but they must be "specific[,] written," and submitted within 14 days after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Insofar as a report and recommendation deals with a dispositive motion, a district court must conduct a <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which timely objections are made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The district court may adopt those portions of a report and recommendation to which no timely objections have been made, provided no clear error is apparent from the face of the record. <u>Lewis v. Zon</u>, 573 F. Supp. 2d 804, 811 (S.D.N.Y. 2008); <u>Nelson v. Smith</u>, 618 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985). The clearly erroneous standard also applies when a party makes only conclusory or general objections, or simply reiterates his original arguments. <u>Ortiz v. Barkley</u>, 558 F. Supp. 2d 444, 451 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).

None of the parties has objected to Judge Smith's thorough and well-reasoned decision.

The Court has carefully reviewed the R&R and finds no error, clear or otherwise.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the R&R is adopted in its entirety as the opinion of the Court.

A default judgment shall be entered against defendant L&H Engineering & Design LLC, on plaintiff's breach of contract claim in the amount of \$90,000, plus (i) pre-judgment interest at the rate of 9% per annum from March 20, 2012, until the date of entry of judgment, and (ii) post-judgment interest calculated in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

Plaintiff's claims for fraud in the inducement; for violation of New York General Business Law § 396-p; and for a declaratory judgment are dismissed.

Plaintiff has advised the Court that defendant LG Engineering & Design, Inc., has filed for bankruptcy protection, and has not requested that the action be stayed as to LG Engineering & Design, Inc. (Doc. #83). Accordingly, all claims against defendant LG Engineering & Design, Inc., are dismissed without prejudice.

The Clerk is instructed to enter judgment in accordance with the foregoing and to close this case.

Dated: March 5, 2015 White Plains, NY

SO ORDERED:

Vincent L. Briccetti

United States District Judge